UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/542,175	07/14/2005	Peter Von Matt	TX/4-32732A	8299	
	7590 11/13/2008 VARTIS INSTITUTES FOR BIOMEDICAL RESEARCH, INC.			EXAMINER	
400 TECHNOLOGY SQUARE CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139			KOSACK, JOSEPH R		
CAMBRIDGE,	WIA 02139		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1626		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/13/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/542,175	VON MATT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph R. Kosack	1626	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	This action is non-final. wance except for formal mat	•	:s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8,10 and 11 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8,10 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to a Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	ı
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-8 and 10-11 are pending in the instant application.

Amendments

The amendment filed on July 30, 2008 has been acknowledged and entered into the application file.

Previous Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-8 and 10-11 were previously rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Albert et al. (WO 02/38561 A1).

Applicant has traversed the rejection on the grounds that it would not have been obvious to replace the quinoline or isoquinoline groups of Albert et al. with a pyridine group as in the instant application.

The Examiner understands the traversal asks for a document to show the change that is envisioned by one of ordinary skill in the art. The Examiner responds with Patani et al. (*Chem. Rev. 1996*, 3147-3176) which teaches that phenyl and pyridyl are bioisosteres of each other and that utilizing this tool in the pharmaceutical art would generate compounds with retention of biological activity. See page 3158, column 1. Additionally, even though a reference has not been provided to show that pyridine and its benzofused form, quinoline, are normally equivalent, Albert et al. teaches other systems of single rings and their benzofused form in this system with no loss of activity. Therefore, the bridge can be made from quinoline to pyridine as made by the Examiner in the instant case as Albert et al. also teaches the respective phenyl compounds. The rejection is maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-8 and 10-11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Albert et al. (WO 02/38561 A1).

Application/Control Number: 10/542,175

Art Unit: 1626

Page 4

The instant invention is drawn to compounds of the formula

where: R is radical (a); R_1 is piperazine; and all other substituents are as defined. The instant invention is also drawn to its method of preparation and method of use.

Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (MPEP §2141.01)

Albert et al. teach compounds of the formula

where: A is

optionally substituted, Ra is H or optionally substituted C₁₋₄ alkyl, Rb is H or C₁₋₄ alkyl, R

Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP §2141.02)

Albert et al. teaches a quinoline (benzofused pyridine) and not teach a pyridine ring in the R position.

Finding of prima facie obviousness--rational and motivation (MPEP §2142-2413)

Albert et al. teaches other monocycles versus the benzofused cycles with no loss of utility and no apparent loss of activity. Specifically, Albert et al. teaches the R position to be phenyl or napthelene, and pyrimidine or quinazoline. See page 1.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to follow the synthetic scheme of Albert et al. and pyridine for quinoline to make the claimed invention with a reasonable expectation of success. The motivation to do so is provided by Albert et al. Albert et al. teach the use of the synthesized compounds to treat various diseases mediated by T lymphocytes and/or PKC and the substitution for benzofused rings for the corresponding monocycles. See page 1 and 36-40.

Thus, the claimed invention as a whole was *prima facie* obviousness over the combined teachings of the prior art.

Conclusion

Claims 1-8 and 10-11 are rejected.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 1626

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph R. Kosack whose telephone number is (571)272-5575. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane can be reached on (571)-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,175

Page 7

Art Unit: 1626

/Joseph R Kosack/ Examiner, Art Unit 1626

/REI-TSANG SHIAO / Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626